



Wilson's Workshop

Cylinder insertion into scarred corporal bodies: prosthetic urology's most difficult challenge: some suggestions for making the surgery easier

Steven K. Wilson¹ · Jay Simhan² · Martin S. Gross³

Received: 28 February 2020 / Revised: 30 March 2020 / Accepted: 6 April 2020
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2020

Abstract

Corporal fibrosis is a process that involves excessive deposition of scar tissue in response to infection, trauma, or ischemia. It does not occur merely as a result of previous IPP surgery. Excessive development of corporal fibrosis is certain after extraneous and disastrous events such as priapism or the removal of a device for infection. The usual surgical planes and spaces are obliterated by proliferation of scar both in the tissues overlying the corpora and the space within the corpora previously occupied by erectile tissue. To maximize success, specialized instruments, downsized cylinders, and lots of experience are necessities. Prosthetic urology produces, for the most part, happy patients. Fibrosis guys, to a man are not happy. Let the expert have the unhappy patient!

Introduction

Corporal fibrosis is a process that involves excessive deposition of scar tissue in response to infection, trauma, or ischemia. It does not occur merely as a result of previous IPP surgery. In other words, routine removal of an implant for mechanical problems, physician error, or patient dissatisfaction does not result in the development of fibrotic scar tissue in the space previously occupied by erectile tissue. Fibrotic corpora difficult to dilate may result from disease processes such as diabetes, Peyronie's disease, and arterial insufficiency. Excessive development of corporal fibrosis is certain after extraneous and disastrous events such as priapism or the removal of a device for infection.

The most difficult challenge in prosthetic urology is insertion of penile implant cylinders into corpora scarred from removal of a previously infected implant or from an episode of priapism. In these cases, the usually spongy, and

easily dilated erectile tissue (Fig. 1a) has been replaced by fibrotic scar tissue (Fig. 1b). Similarly, the tissue overlying the corpora has been altered by thickening and obliteration of surgical planes. Fibrosis is worse distally in post priapism patients and worse proximally in previously infected implant patients. Shortening of the penis is particularly noticeable in the patients whose implants were removed for infection. The fibrosis defies dilatation with conventional instruments such as Hegar or Brooks dilators.


Occasional implanters should refer these patients to a tertiary center since there is no more difficult operation in prosthetic urology than the achievement of an IPP in these patients. In previous writings SKW has called this surgery, "the greatest challenge in the most miserable patients" [1]. The patients are very unhappy with their reduced length (Fig. 2a)—replacement of the corpora with fibrotic scar tissue shrinks their penis and even if the replacement IPP is successful, the outcome is disappointing (Fig. 2b, c). Even very experienced implanters will have great difficulty particularly if they are lacking the necessary specialized equipment to assist in adjusting the scarred corporal bodies of the shrunken penis to accept the inflatable components.

History of insertion of cylinders into scarred corporal bodies


To create the space for placement of the cylinders without the necessity of specialized instruments, the traditional

✉ Steven K. Wilson
skwilson@mac.com

¹ Department of Urology, Institute for Urologic Excellence, Indio, CA, USA
² Department of Urology, Einstein Healthcare Network, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA
³ Department of Urology, Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, Dartmouth Geisel School of Medicine, Lebanon, NH, USA



A. Normal Erectile Tissue



B. Corporal Fibrosis: Infection/Removal

Fig. 1 Insertion of cylinders into scarred corporal bodies.

method popularized by Dr. Montague was excavation of the corporal tissue (Fig. 3a). The corporal fibrotic tissue was sharply excised out of the tunica albuginea (Fig. 3a, b), and the implant cylinder inserted into the resulting space (Fig. 3c). Frequently, it was not possible to close the tunica over the cylinder and a graft was required to span the gap (Fig. 3d). This technique remains popular today in some tertiary referral centers [2], but can be technically challenging to perform in the proximal corporal body.

Other centers reported success with multiple incisions with minimal scar tissue excision [3] and extensive corporotomy with subsequent graft coverage [2–6]. This literature enumerates 15 different graft materials [6]. Unfortunately, these operations, even in the most experienced surgeons' hands, are time consuming, fraught with complications [7], and implant survival from revision is discouraging [6–8]. In 1995 Wilson first suggested that the combination of adequate corporal incision, the use of cavernotomes, and a downsized implant could be another possible solution [8]. This method caught interest and variations have been published. Recently, to obviate the need for cavernotomes, Shaeer substituted using a resectoscope and optical urethrotome to resect the space for the cylinders [9]. Twenty-five years after our technique was first described, we offer our current thinking. This 3rd edition of Wilson's Workshop will identify techniques during the surgery that will give the surgeon an advantage at




A. Shrunken penis from corporal fibrosis

B. IPP in flaccidity

C. IPP in erection


Fig. 2 The greatest challenge in the most miserable patient.




A. Removal of corporal fibrosis



B. Resultant corporal space



C. Cylinder placed



D. Graft closure of defect

Fig. 3 Traditional excavation of corporal tissue.

86 overcoming these harsh conditions and give the patient a
 87 satisfactory penis which will function in sexual relations.


88 **More modern tools assist the surgeon to**
 89 **insert into scarred corporal bodies**

90 *Note: It is impossible to adequately describe surgical*
 91 *technique with simply words and photos. For complete*
 92 *expositions of these surgical maneuvers, the reader is*
 93 *referred to www.vjpu-issm.info. Wilson SK. VJPU 2013;*
 94 *1:011, Wilson SK VJPU 2014; 1: 021, Simhan J VJPU*
 95 *2017; 2: 105*

96 The late 1990's saw the introduction of two instruments
 97 that improved success in these difficult cases [8, 10]. Dr.
 98 Carrion and Rossello, giants in the infancy of prosthetic
 99 urology, invented the Carrion–Rossello cavernotomes [8].
 100 Over the years these cutting dilators have been available
 101 from a variety of sources. Present availability is restricted to
 102 Porges, the surgical instrument company owned by


Coloplast. These dilators have a surface similar to a wood
 rasp with backward cutting teeth that resect when with-
 drawn forcibly against the stenotic tissue (Fig. 4a). The non-
 cutting side of the cavernotome teeth allows advancement
 through the scar tissue by twisting your hand in an oscil-
 lating fashion (Fig. 4c). Then after forcible withdrawal,
 reinsert the cavernotome and move it back and forth it as
 you would a rongeur. The dilators are sized 8–12 mm. The
 space is created by progressively increasing cavernotome
 size until a space the size of the cylinder tip and base is
 fashioned. Using these instruments, we introduced the new
 concept of drilling into a fibrous cavernous body instead of
 resecting the scar tissue [8]. The diameter of the tunnel
 necessary to pass a Furlow Insertion Tool distally is 9 mm.
 Depending upon the manufacturer and model of cylinder
 9–11 mm dilatation is required proximally (Fig. 13).

The other model of cutting dilator is the Uramix caver-
 notome (Fig. 5). These metal, nondisposable dilators were
 invented by Mike Mooreville, a Philadelphia urologist [10].
 The instruments are sized 6–13 mm in diameter and



A. Carrion-Rossello cavernotomes
 B. Make a space with backward cutting scissors for teeth to engage
 C. Advance progressive sized cavernotome by oscillating hand

Fig. 4 Use of Carrion–Rossello cavernotomes.




A. Uramix cutting dilator
 B. Making space for dilator to engage with knife
 C. Space made with scissors
 D. Advance dilator oscillating motion

Fig. 5 Uramix cutting dilators.



7A. Two sizes of backward Cutting scissors



7B. Wilson's travel instruments: Uramix dilators sized 6-11, two pair of backward cutting scissors and loop electrode

Fig. 6 Specialized instruments for tunneling in scarred corpora.

123 available on the internet (www.uramix.com). One or two
 124 dull knife blades are embedded in the distal part of the
 125 cavernotome. These allow the surgeon to drill a space in the
 126 fibrotic corpora with controlled 1 mm cuts thereby creating
 127 a cavity in the scar tissue (Fig. 5a). Passage of these
 128 instruments is the same oscillating motion as the Rossello
 129 cavernotomes (Fig. 5d). Before either the Rossello or Ura-
 130 mix cavernotomes can drill a passage, a space must be
 131 created for 1–2 cm in the stenotic scar both distally and
 132 proximally (Figs. 4b, 5c). This can be done by spreading the
 133 backward cutting scissors (Figs. 4b, 5c) or making a
 134 cruciate incision with the #15 scalpel (Fig. 5b). We prefer to
 135 use the Uramix instruments in the distal penis because they
 136 begin with a smaller size and the Carrion–Rossello in the
 137 proximal penis because the dull teeth tend to prevent per-
 138 foration of the proximal corpora and its bayonet config-
 139 uration allows better leverage.

140 Another helpful instrument is the Wilson backward cut-
 141 ting scissors available in two sizes and known formally as
 142 the Freeman Kaye or Gourney (Fig. 6) [1]. These special
 143 heavy-duty titanium scissors cut both in the traditional
 144 scissor manner, and allow cutting when the scissor blades
 145 are spread in dense tissue because the outside of the scissor
 146 blade is sharp. These instruments are also available from the
 147 Uramix company and can be ordered on the internet (www.
 148 [uramix.com](http://www.uramix.com)). The scissors are advantageous for making a
 149 space for the cavernotomes to engage with the fibrotic tissue
 150 (Figs. 4b, 5c). Often the fibrosis is so dense the space cannot
 151 be created. Whenever that happens, do not force the
 152 instruments. Extend the corporotomy. Whenever the cor-
 153 poral incision is extended, always place a new set of stay
 154 sutures. Sometimes, it is necessary to open the corpora
 155 extensively, like the belly of a fish (Figs. 7, 14B). Occa-
 156 sionally, it is advisable to make the second corporotomy just



Fig. 7 Extensive corporotomies necessary in corporal fibrosis insertions.

157 under the glans so that this dilatation can be performed under
 158 direct vision [11] (Fig. 8). Eventually one should be able to
 159 engage the teeth or knife of the cavernotome and create a
 160 tunnel through the dense tissue that will accept a deflated
 161 cylinder. Due to the difficulty these challenging cases pose,
 162 we suggest periodic interrogation of the corporotomy with
 163 an irrigation syringe (Fig. 9a) to test for unintended urethral
 164 injury that might preclude complete device placement
 165 (Fig. 9b). If the above disciplines are followed, the risk of
 166 urethral injury should be minimized.

167 Our senior author proctored his first IPP in Sydney
 168 Australia in 1985. Since that time, he has done hundreds of
 169 these cases on his own and instructing others as visiting
 170 surgeon. Wilson jokes that these difficult cases are always
 171 awaiting him whenever he proctors surgery. He says, “the
 172 patient has a different name, but it is the same small fibrotic
 173 penis in every city.” He likes to be prepared SKW’s
 174 travel set of instruments is shown in Fig. 6b: Size 6–11
 175 Uramix dilators, two sizes of backward cutting scissors and
 176 a loop electrode for coring out corporal tissue.

177
178

Pointers to increase the odds of successful implantation


179
180

1. **The disposable Scott retractors.** Both manufacturers of the inflatable penile prosthesis also feature their



Fig. 8 Difficult dilatation distally? Make a second corporotomy.

Fig. 9 Always test for urethral injury before cylinder placement.



A. Interrogation of corporal space with irrigation



B. Urethral laceration: abort implantation

own disposable version of the original metal Scott 181
retractor. The Boston Scientific is called the SKW 182
scrotal retractor and the Coloplast version is the 183
Wilson Retractor (Fig. 10). As in all surgery, exposure 184
is the key to accomplishing the operation efficiently. 185
Use of the disposable retractor is mandatory for these 186
tough surgeries. The disposable retractors have all the 187
tools needed to achieve excellent exposure without the 188
necessity of surgical assistants. Sure, you can cobble 189
together some hooks and a metal retractor, but you 190
never have everything perfect. If you are a non- 191
believer, please refer to the VJPU and watch the 192
phenomenal penile exposure effected by 193
proper setting of the retractor. (Wilson S. VJPU 194
2016; 2: 092) 195

2. **Exposure of proximal corpora with Deaver maneuver.** The majority of corporal fibrosis patients have much worse proximal stenosis than distal. This is unfortunate since it is much easier to extend the corporotomy distally than proximally. For this reason, 200

1983



Original Scott metal retractor

1992




Improved metal Scott

1993




AMS "SKW" disposable retractor

1996



Mentor "Wilson" disposable retractor

2018



Coloplast "Wilson" enhanced retractor

Fig. 10 Evolution to disposable "scott retractor."

Note: plastic baby Deavers and Rake retraction hooks come with both company's disposable retractors




Fig. 11 Access to the proximal corpora is key to successful implantation.

we believe the surgeon is miles ahead if they completely expose the proximal corpora before incising the tunica. This aids in preventing proximal corporal perforation and gives exposure for extending the corporotomy, if necessary, under direct vision rather than covered by overlying tissue. It is easy; dissect the tunica squeaky clean and then before the corporotomy is made, pass the scissors alongside the proximal corpora until you feel the pubic bone—the same feeling as when you pass a dilator to the bone proximally. Then insert a baby Deaver into the hole and pull to the feet. This cleans the proximal penis completely except for the septum (Fig. 11b), which is then taken down sharply near the urethra. Finally, a rolled Raytec® sponge (Wilson calls it the “cowboy blanket roll”) is placed in the wound and the Rake retractors secure the exposure (Fig. 11c). The Deaver maneuver and extensively incising the septum creates such good exposure that with the help of the weighted vaginal retractor it even allows insertion of an artificial urinary sphincter through one scrotal incision (Fig. 12).

3. **The necessity of downsized cylinders.** Experienced implant surgeons have traditionally turned to downsized cylinders in these challenging cases [1–3, 6–8, 11, 12]. These cylinders were designed to be implanted in stenotic corpora because the bladder of the cylinder expands less than standard cylinders and their bases are not as wide. Both Boston Scientific and Coloplast market these downsized devices. It is only required to dilate to 9 mm for insertion of the Furlow and to 10 mm for proper proximal seating of the cylinder base. The 700 CXR (controlled expansion)




After taking down the septum, weighted vaginal speculum exposes deep urethra for AUS

Fig. 12 Wilson Retractor & Deaver Maneuver expose proximal corpora.

from Boston Scientific has the capability to add as much as 7.5 cm of rear tip extender (RTE). The Coloplast Titan NB (narrow base) can add as many as 6 cm. **Warning:** in cases of scarred proximal corporal bodies a long length of RTE must be used so that the tubing directly exits the corporotomy and does not lie adjacent to the base of the cylinder. Burying the tubing in the proximal corpora, as is done routinely in first time, uncomplicated implantations, increases the

Note Base Measurements of Different Cylinders



Coloplast Titan NB 9mm base is 15mm at tubing juncture

| Cylinder base diameter | Sizes in cm. | Diameter base |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| AMS 700 CX, LGX Standard | 12, 15, 18, 21, 24 | 11 mm |
| AMS 700 CXR | 10, 12, 14, 16, 18 | 10 mm |
| Coloplast Titan NB | 11, 14, 16, 18 | 9 mm |
| Coloplast Titan Standard | 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26 | 12 mm |

Fig. 13 Base of cylinder is narrower than tubing juncture note base measurements of different cylinders.

diameter of the base to 15 mm or more, even with the downsized cylinders (Fig. 13).


4. **Use lots of rear tip extenders (RTE).** During these difficult cases, the surgeon is advised to be generous with RTE and select the shorter size of cylinder. The implanter should also know that both company's downsized cylinders have a 4 cm base length before getting to the tubing juncture, which should exit the corporotomy. Both Coloplast and Boston's downsized implants increase in length in 2 cm increments. We give the following example to assist in determining the proper measurement that allows the tubing to exit the corporotomy so the surgeon can take advantage of the narrow base. Let's say the combined measurement of this hypothetical patient was 18 cm with the proximal sizing at 8 cm. That means that at least 4 cm of RTE must be used to exit the tubing from the corporotomy. The proper selection of cylinder should be 14 cm plus 4 cm RTE. If one instead runs the tubing inside the stenotic corpora, thus allowing it to be compressed by the tightness, the input tubing may be compressed despite its "kink proof" construction. Under this circumstance, inflation would be possible, but deflation would not succeed. If this is noted at surgery, one should incise the tunica albuginea over the tubing with the cutting current of the electrosurgical pencil (≤ 35 watts) until the tubing exits the corporotomy at its junction with the cylinder base.

5. **The best cylinder for scarred corporal bodies is the 16 cm Titan NB.** It is not an admission of defeat to place a narrow cylinder. Clinical appearance of the penis is initially excellent even with the downsized cylinders because of the stenotic corpora. If the patient

is dissatisfied with resultant penile appearance, he can be assured that the downsized cylinders will accomplish preparation of the space for a longer, wider standard size device for the next revision. The Coloplast cylinders grow progressively in girth as the cylinder lengthens while all Boston cylinder's width is identical (18 mm), no matter how long the component is. The 16 cm NB expands almost as much as the standard CX cylinder from Boston (18 mm) while the 16 cm downsized Boston CXR only expands to 14 mm. **Note:** in the example of cylinder sizing in the previous paragraph, we would not be able to use the 16 cm length because we required at least 4 cm of RTE to properly exit the corporotomy. In the above case, the experienced surgeon would extend his corporotomy 2 cm reducing the depth of the proximal corpora to 6 cm. Then a 16 NB cylinder with an RTE of 2 could be used rather than use the less capacious 14 cm cylinder from either company. As surgeons who must listen to the patient's complaints one year after the tissue expansion caused by usage of downsized prosthetics, we strive valiantly to place the 16 NB as it may obviate the need for an additional upsizing reoperation.

6. **Specialized instruments are necessary.** Use of cavernotomes and backward cutting scissors allows the operator to create tunnels in the proximal and distal fibrotic scar tissue. Extensive corporotomies may be necessary because the scar is resistant to dilatation for long distances. It is much easier and safer to only have to dilate for a short distance (Figs. 7, 14). The reader is advised that while tunnels of adequate girth have been created to contain the cylinder proximally and distally, the corporotomy

Fig. 14 Resection of fibrotic corporal tissue to create space for cylinder without grafting necessity.



311 itself has not been dilated and is not sufficiently wide
 312 to suture the two sides of the tunica covering the
 313 added bulk of the cylinder (Fig. 3c, d). In our opinion,
 314 a graft to cover the space adds an increased risk of
 315 device infection and should be avoided if possible.
 316 While the literature is replete with many techniques of
 317 corporal resection [2–5, 9], we believe the easiest is to
 318 simply use the electrosurgical pencil to core out the
 319 corpora the extent of the corporotomy. There are
 320 commercially available loop electrodes (Fig. 6b) or
 321 you can simply bend the disposable tip of the pencil
 322 into an L or U shape and shave out the thickened scar
 323 tissue (Fig. 14). This is the first publication of this
 324 clever solution that was suggested to me by Professor
 325 Robert Andrienne of Liege Belgium (Andrienne R.
 326 *VJPU* 2017; 2: 101).

327 **7. Never be reluctant to make a second distal incision.**

328 Blind poking of sharp instruments from the original
 329 proximal corporotomy traversing the long distances in
 330 the distal corpora should be avoided. If you are still
 331 having difficulty even after extending your corporo-
 332 tomy and placing additional stay sutures, make a
 333 separate distal corporotomy (Fig. 8). This allows for
 334 tunnel creation in the distal corpora under direct
 335 vision and decreases the possibility of urethral
 336 damage [11]. Distal dilatation is the most dangerous
 337 maneuver during the reinsertion of cylinders into
 338 scarred corporal bodies. Virtually all other surgical
 339 misadventures (distal/proximal corporal perforation,
 340 crossover) can be corrected, but if distal urethral
 341 laceration occurs the case must be aborted [1, 7, 11].

342 **8. Vacuum devices prepare fibrotic penis for a more**

343 **successful outcome.** The shortened penis patients
 344 after device removal for infection are difficult to
 345 manage. While an experienced surgeon using all the
 346 above tricks may define success as the achievement of

347 reimplantation in these difficult cases, most of the
 348 patients are distinctly unhappy The patients
 349 routinely exclaim, “it’s not as long as it formerly
 350 was.” He is correct. Typically, the cylinder reim-
 351 planted after removal for infection is 4–6 cm shorter
 352 than the one used on the original implantation
 353 [13, 14]. We have found that it is useful to have the
 354 post infection patient employ the vacuum device for at
 355 least 7 weeks prior to the reinsertion attempt into
 356 scarred corpora [15]. He places his penis twice daily
 357 in a vacuum device and pumps it up to discomfort,
 358 leaving it there for 10 min without the constriction
 359 ring. This seems to ameliorate the severe shortening
 360 and if the patient marks on the device his penile
 361 length, its increasing length is documented [15]
 362 (Fig. 15). Other groups have noted similar results
 363 [12]. After vacuum preparation the implant is
 364 performed, and the cylinders oversized 2 cm to allow
 365 for subsequent tissue expansion which will result from
 366 the device’s repetitive usage. Much like the tissue
 367 expansion in Peyronie’s disease whereby the hour-
 368 glass deformity from circumferential scar recedes with
 369 use, tissue expansion following implantation into
 370 fibrotic corpora is always noted. The patient is
 371 encouraged to inflate his prosthesis fully each evening
 372 for 3 h. Frequently, after 8–12 months the original
 373 downsized cylinder can be replaced by a standard size
 374 cylinder with more girth and a larger base without
 375 additional dilatation [14]. Best of all, the expanded
 376 corporal cavity will also accept 2–3 cm longer
 377 cylinders resulting in an improved appearance [14]
 378 (Figs. 16, 17).

379 **9. Timing to reimplantation.** The amount of time that
 380 passes between the event causing the fibrosis and the
 381 reinsertion of cylinders is one of few modifiable
 382 factors to minimize the extent of fibrosis after




Fig. 15 Use of vacuum helps ameliorate penile shrinkage after infection.




Fig. 16 Vacuum therapy & downsized implants effect tissue expansion.



- A. 1 yr. post Infection removal of 19cm IPP 14 Titan NB inserted
- B. After 11 mo. cylinders too short patient has SST
- C. 16 cm Titan Standard Substituted also scrotoplasty and circumcision

Fig. 17 Substitution wider longer cylinders improves patient satisfaction (“scrotal scar documents it is the same patient”).

383 infection. Reimplantation within 16 weeks allows
 384 sufficient time for the infection to be adequately
 385 treated, and a remnant of the old corporal cavity may
 386 be found. Similarly, in post priapism patients, the
 387 erectile tissue has not been completely obliterated and
 388 a cavity of damaged erectile tissue will facilitate

development of the space necessary to place the
 cylinder [7]. We find the surgery more difficult if over
 4 months pass from either priapism or device removal
 for infection. We have also noted that the intraoperative
 and post-operative complications increase significantly
 beyond 4 months [7]. Unfortunately, while

operating sooner on these patients is advantageous, it can be difficult to secure the patient's enthusiasm for a quick return to surgery.

10. **Management of these unhappy and discouraged patients.** The shrunken penis patient requires encouragement from both physician and spouse. I tell both patient and partner that all is not lost. With our program of:

- 7 weeks vacuum preparation before surgery,
- deliberate cylinder oversizing at the surgery,
- daily repetitive inflation post operatively for months and finally
- substitution of a wider, longer model,

we can affect much improvement (Figs. 16, 17). I show pictures of other patients whose penile appearance was enhanced similarly. I take the wife aside and ask her for help. She is a very willing partner because since men and women are wired differently, she is baffled why he is so unhappy about penile length. To keep her man from becoming a penile cripple, I ask her to marvel repetitively at how good his penis looks during the journey—after all, she is his only reference. In addition, I suggest she be sure to indicate lots of pleasure during their first intimate usage. With this program which starts 7 weeks before the surgery and is only completed after the substitution of longer, wider cylinders a year later, the couple has “skin in the game.” I can honestly tell the reader none have come back

complaining about length. These previous “penile cripples” are having sex and have become refocused on the more pleasurable aspects of life than his penile size.

11. **Cosmetic procedures to increase perceived size of penis.**

- A. Scrotoplasty or ventral phalloplasty in conjunction with IPP has become popular in the United States recently [16]. The need for this adjunctive procedure is peculiarly American. Most male babies in this country undergo circumcision at birth by the Pediatrician with a Gomco clamp. Invariably, too much foreskin is removed, thus displacing the penoscrotal junction onto the penile shaft rather than remaining on the true penoscrotal junction. The problem afflicts both the large and small American penis (Fig. 18). Scrotoplasty was first described as very useful for the penis shortened by fibrosis by Wilson in 2006 [14]. This described procedure was to make a transverse scrotal incision and after implantation of the IPP, reorient it vertically (Fig. 19b). Then trim the excess skin and the resultant skin incision is closed vertically (Figs. 17c, 19d).
- B. In the uncircumcised patient, the scarred corporal bodies shrinkage makes his foreskin excessively redundant—the resultant erection will not displace his glans beyond the surplus foreskin. A circumcision further enhances the penile appearance of these patients [14] (Figs. 17, 19).




Fig. 18 Scrotoplasty in association with IPP improves penile appearance.




Fig. 19 Scrotoplasty and circumcision for patients with scarred corporal bodies.




Fig. 20 Ventral phalloplasty useful to increase perceived size.

452 C. Recently a new technique of dorsal phalloplasty has
 453 been described. Shaer describes increasing visible
 454 penile length outside the plane of the body by using
 455 permanent suture to tack the dermis to the pubic bone
 456 in conjunction with a rod or IPP implant [17]. This
 457 approximates the pubic skin to the symphysis pubis
 458 better defining the penopubic junction (Fig. 20). We
 459 may use all three procedures on the same individual in
 460 our effort to enhance his appearance.
 461

462 Conclusion

463 Penile prosthesis implantation into scarred corporal bodies
 464 is daunting, even for the experienced implanter. The usual
 465 surgical planes and spaces are obliterated by proliferation of
 466 scar both in the tissues overlying the corpora and the space
 467 within the corpora previously occupied by erectile tissue.
 468 To maximize success, specialized instruments, downsized
 469 cylinders and lots of experience are necessities. History has
 470 shown that even experienced implanters have only a 50%
 471 chance of success without these assets [8]. The infection
 472 retardant coatings placed upon today's prosthetic compo-
 473 nents and other technique enhancements have drastically

474 decreased the number of device infections making experi-
 475 ence with these cases more difficult to accrue. While this
 476 3rd edition of Wilson's Workshop outlines a number of tips
 477 and tricks to improve the reader's surgical outcomes, we
 478 still encourage most readers to refer these patients to a
 479 tertiary center with very experienced surgeons. Prosthetic
 480 urology produces, for the most part, happy patients. Fibrosis
 481 guys, to a man are not happy. Let the expert have the
 482 unhappy patient!

Compliance with ethical standards

483
 484 **Conflict of interest** SKW: Consultant for Consultant AMT, Coloplast,
 485 International Medical Devices. Stockholder Neottract. Lecturer Boston
 486 Scientific.

487 **Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to
 488 jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- 489
 490 1. Kohler TS, Gupta NK, Wilson SK. Wilson's pearls perils and
 491 pitfalls of penile prosthesis surgery. Fort Smith AR: Calvert
 492 McBride Publishing; 2018.
 493 2. Montague DK, Angermeier KW. Corporal excavation: new
 494 technique for penile prosthesis implantation in men with severe
 495 corporal fibrosis. Urology. 2005;67:1072-05.

- 496 3. George VK, Shah GS, Mills R, Dhabuwala CB. The management
497 of extensive penile fibrosis: a new technique of minimal scar-
498 tissue excision. *Br J Urol.* 1996;77:282–4.
- 499 4. Palese MA, Burnett AL. Corporoplasty using pericardium allo-
500 graft (Tutoplast) with complex penile prosthesis surgery. *Urology.*
501 2001;58:1049–52.
- 502 5. Herschorn S, Ordorica RC. Penile prosthesis insertion with cor-
503 poreal reconstruction with synthetic vascular graft material. *J*
504 *Urol.* 1995;154:80–4.
- 505 6. Martinez-Salamanca JI, Mueller A, Moncada I. Penile prosthesis
506 surgery in patients with corporal fibrosis: a state-of-the-art review.
507 *J Sex Med.* 2011;8:1880–9.
- 508 7. Hebert K, Yafi F, Wilson SK. Inflatable penile prosthesis
509 implantation into scarred corporal bodies; timing may decrease
510 postoperative problems. *BJU Int.* 2020;125:168–72.
- 511 8. Wilson SK, Delk JR, Terry T. Improved implant survival in
512 patients with severe corporal fibrosis: a new technique without the
513 necessity of grafting. *J Urol.* 1995;161:359–61.
- 514 9. Shaeer O. Penoscopy: optical corporotomy and resection for
515 prosthesis implantation in cases of penile fibrosis, Shaeer's tech-
516 nique. *J Sex Med.* 2007;4:1214–7.
- 517 10. Mooreville M, Sorin A, Delk JR, Wilson SK. Implantation of
518 inflatable penile prosthesis in patients with severe corporal fibro-
519 sis: introduction of a new penile cavernotome. *J Urol.* 1999;162:
520 2054–7.
11. Ghanem H, Ghazy S, El-Meliegy A. Corporal counter incisions: a 521
522 simplified approach to penile prosthesis implantation in fibrotic
523 cases. *Int J Impot Res.* 2000;12:153–6.
12. Tsambarlis PN, Chaus F, Levine LA. Successful placement of 524
525 penile prostheses in men with severe corporal fibrosis following
526 vacuum therapy protocol. *J Sex Med.* 2017;14:44–6.
13. Lopatequi DM, Balise RR, Bouzoubaa LA, Wilson SK, Kava BR. 527
528 The impact of immediate salvage surgery on corporeal length
529 preservation in patients presenting with penile implant infections.
530 *J Urol.* 2018;200:171–7.
14. Wilson SK, Delk JR, Mulcahy JJ, et al. Upsizing of inflatable 531
532 penile implant cylinders in patients with corporal fibrosis. *J Sex*
533 *Med.* 2006;3:736–42.
15. Sellers T, Dineen M, Salem E, Wilson SK. Vacuum preparation, 534
535 optimization of cylinder length and postoperative daily inflation
536 reduces complaints of shortened penile length following implan-
537 tation of inflatable penile prosthesis. *Adv Sex Med.* 2013;3:14–18.
16. Miranda-Sousa A, Keating M, Mireira S, et al. Concomitant 538
539 ventral phalloplasty during penile implant surgery: a novel pro-
540 cedure that optimizes patient satisfaction and their perception of
541 phallic length after penile implant surgery. *J Sex Med.* 2007;4:
542 1494–9.
17. Shaeer O, Shaeer K, Islam FS, Rahman A. Supersizing the penis 543
544 upon penile prosthesis implantation by Shaeer's dorsal phallo-
545 plasty. *Hum Androl.* 2016;6:97–9.

UNCORRECTED PROOF

Journal : 41443

Article : 282

SPRINGER NATURE

Author Query Form

Please ensure you fill out your response to the queries raised below and return this form along with your corrections

Dear Author

During the process of typesetting your article, the following queries have arisen. Please check your typeset proof carefully against the queries listed below and mark the necessary changes either directly on the proof/online grid or in the 'Author's response' area provided below

| Queries | Details Required | Author's Response |
|---------|--|-------------------|
| AQ1 | Please confirm or correct the city/country name inserted in affiliation 1,2,3. | |
| AQ2 | Please check your article carefully, coordinate with any co-authors and enter all final edits clearly in the eproof, remembering to save frequently. Once corrections are submitted, we cannot routinely make further changes to the article. | |
| AQ3 | Note that the eproof should be amended in only one browser window at any one time; otherwise changes will be overwritten. | |
| AQ4 | Author surnames have been highlighted. Please check these carefully and adjust if the first name or surname is marked up incorrectly. Note that changes here will affect indexing of your article in public repositories such as PubMed. Also, carefully check the spelling and numbering of all author names and affiliations, and the corresponding email address(es). | |
| AQ5 | Figure legends should begin with a brief title for the whole figure as the first sentence, then continue with a caption containing a short description of each panel and the symbols used. Please provide the missing title/caption for this figures 1-20. | |
| AQ6 | Since the references were not cited in numerical order, they have been renumbered in the order of appearance. Please check. | |
| AQ7 | Per style, please provide up to 6 author names before the 'et al.' in Vancouver style in the references 14 and 16. | |